TECHNICAL REPORT

ISO/IEC TR 18015

First edition 2006-09-01

Information technology — Programming languages, their environments and system software interfaces — Technical Report on C++ Performance

Technologies de l'information — Langages de programmation, leurs environnements et interfaces du logiciel système — Rapport technique sur la performance C++



Reference number ISO/IEC TR 18015:2006(E)

PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

ISO copyright office Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20 Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11 Fax + 41 22 749 09 47 E-mail copyright@iso.org Web www.iso.org Published in Switzerland

[©] ISO/IEC 2006

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

Contents

C	Conte	ntsi	ii			
Forewordv						
Introductionvi						
Participants vii						
1	1 Scope					
2	Ν	ormative References	.3			
3	T	erms and definitions	.4			
4	T	ypical Application Areas1	13			
	4.1 4.2	Embedded Systems1 Servers				
5	L	anguage Features: Overheads and Strategies1	16			
	5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6	Namespaces 1 Type Conversion Operators 1 Classes and Inheritance 1 Exception Handling 2 Templates 2 Programmer Directed Optimizations 4	17 18 27 37			
6	C	reating Efficient Libraries6	53			
	6.1 6.2 <i>Local</i>	The Standard <i>IOStreams</i> Library – Overview				
7	U	sing C++ in Embedded Systems7	77			
	7.1 7.2	ROMability				
8	Η	ardware Addressing Interface8				
	8.1 8.2 8.3	Introduction to Hardware Addressing	02			

Anne	x A: Guidelines on Using the <hardware> Interface</hardware>	118
A.1 A.2	Usage Introduction Using Hardware Register Designator Specifications	
A.3	Hardware Access	
Anne	x B: Implementing the <i>iohw</i> Interfaces	124
B.1	General Implementation Considerations	124
B.2	Overview of Hardware Device Connection Options	
B.3	Hardware Register Designators for Different Device Addressing Methods.	
B.4	Atomic Operation	130
B.5	Read-Modify-Write Operations and Multi-Addressing	130
B.6	Initialization	131
B. 7	Intrinsic Features for Hardware Register Access	133
B.8	Implementation Guidelines for the <hardware> Interface</hardware>	134
Anne	x C: A <hardware> Implementation for the <iohw.h></iohw.h></hardware>	
Inter	1	149
C.1	Implementation of the Basic Access Functions	149
C.2	Buffer Functions	
C.3	Group Functionality	151
C.4	Remarks	155
Anne	x D: Timing Code	156
D.1	Measuring the Overhead of Class Operations	156
D.2	Measuring Template Overheads	
D.3	0 I	
D.4	The Stepanov Abstraction Penalty Benchmark	1/1
	The Stepanov Abstraction Penalty Benchmark Comparing Function Objects to Function Pointers	
D.5	The Stepanov Abstraction Penalty Benchmark Comparing Function Objects to Function Pointers Measuring the Cost of Synchronized I/O	177
	Comparing Function Objects to Function Pointers	177 181

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

In exceptional circumstances, the joint technical committee may propose the publication of a Technical Report of one of the following types:

- type 1, when the required support cannot be obtained for the publication of an International Standard, despite repeated efforts;
- type 2, when the subject is still under technical development or where for any other reason there is the future but not immediate possibility of an agreement on an International Standard;
- type 3, when the joint technical committee has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard ("state of the art", for example).

Technical Reports of types 1 and 2 are subject to review within three years of publication, to decide whether they can be transformed into International Standards. Technical Reports of type 3 do not necessarily have to be reviewed until the data they provide are considered to be no longer valid or useful.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/IEC TR 18015, which is a Technical Report of type 3, was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 22, *Programming languages, their environments and system software interfaces*.

Introduction

"Performance" has many aspects – execution speed, code size, data size, and memory footprint at run-time, or time and space consumed by the edit/compile/link process. It could even refer to the time necessary to find and fix code defects. Most people are primarily concerned with execution speed, although program footprint and memory usage can be critical for small embedded systems where the program is stored in ROM, or where ROM and RAM are combined on a single chip.

Efficiency has been a major design goal for C++ from the beginning, as has the principle of "zero overhead" for any feature that is not used in a program. It has been a guiding principle from the earliest days of C++ that "you don't pay for what you don't use".

Language features that are never used in a program should not have a cost in extra code size, memory size, or run-time. If there are places where C++ cannot guarantee zero overhead for unused features, this Technical Report will attempt to document them. It will also discuss ways in which compiler writers, library vendors, and programmers can minimize or eliminate performance penalties, and will discuss the trade-offs among different methods of implementation.

Programming for resource-constrained environments is another focus of this Technical Report Typically, programs that run into resource limits of some kind are either very large or very small. Very large programs, such as database servers, may run into limits of disk space or virtual memory. At the other extreme, an embedded application may be constrained to run in the ROM and RAM space provided by a single chip, perhaps a total of 64K of memory, or even smaller.

Apart from the issues of resource limits, some programs must interface with system hardware at a very low level. Historically the interfaces to hardware have been implemented as proprietary extensions to the compiler (often as macros). This has led to the situation that code has not been portable, even for programs written for a given environment, because each compiler for that environment has implemented different sets of extensions.

Participants

The following people contributed work to this Technical Report:

Dave Abrahams	Dietmar Kühl
Mike Ball	Jens Maurer
Walter Banks	Fusako Mitsuhashi
Greg Colvin	Hiroshi Monden
Embedded C++ Technical Committee (Japan)	Nathan Myers
Hiroshi Fukutomi	Masaya Obata
Lois Goldthwaite	Martin O'Riordan
Yenjo Han	Tom Plum
John Hauser	Dan Saks
Seiji Hayashida	Martin Sebor
Howard Hinnant	Bill Seymour
Brendan Kehoe	Bjarne Stroustrup
Robert Klarer	Detlef Vollmann
Jan Kristofferson	Willem Wakker

Information technology — Programming languages, their environments and system software interfaces — Technical Report on C++ Performance

1 Scope

The aim of this Technical Report is:

- to give the reader a model of time and space overheads implied by use of various C++ language and library features,
- to debunk widespread myths about performance problems,
- to present techniques for use of C++ in applications where performance matters, and
- to present techniques for implementing C++ Standard language and library facilities to yield efficient code.

As far as run-time and space performance are concerned, if you can afford to use C for an application, you can afford to use C++ in a style that uses C++'s facilities appropriately for that application.

This Technical Report first discusses areas where performance issues matter, such as various forms of embedded systems programming and high-performance numerical computation. After that, the main body of the Technical Report considers the basic cost of using language and library facilities, techniques for writing efficient code, and the special needs of embedded systems programming.

Performance implications of object-oriented programming are presented. This discussion rests on measurements of key language facilities supporting OOP, such as classes, class member functions, class hierarchies, virtual functions, multiple inheritance, and run-time type information (RTTI). It is demonstrated that, with the exception of RTTI, current C++ implementations can match hand-written low-level code for equivalent tasks. Similarly, the performance implications of generic programming using templates are discussed. Here, however, the emphasis is on techniques for effective use. Error handling using exceptions is discussed based on another set of measurements. Both time and space overheads are discussed. In addition, the predictability of performance of a given operation is considered.

The performance implications of IOStreams and Locales are examined in some detail and many generally useful techniques for time and space optimizations are discussed. The special needs of embedded systems programming are presented, including ROMability and predictability. A separate chapter presents general C and C++ interfaces to the basic hardware facilities of embedded systems.

Additional research is continuing into techniques for producing efficient C++ libraries and programs. Please see the WG21 web site at www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21 for example code from this Technical Report and pointers to other sites with relevant information.

2 Normative References

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 14882:2003, Programming Languages – C++.

Mentions of "the Standard" or "IS" followed by a clause or paragraph number refer to the above International Standard for C++. Section numbers not preceded by "IS" refer to locations within this Technical Report.